

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,184	05/13/2005	Stuart Michael Ruan Jones	102792-394(11026P1)	8507
²⁷³⁸⁹ NORRIS. MCI	7590 09/18/200 AUGHLIN & MARCI		EXAMINER	
875 THIRD AV			HOGAN, JAMES SEAN	
	EW YORK, NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	10/521,184	JONES, STUART MICHAEL RUAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James S. Hogan	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2007 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		!			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on August 6, 2007. These drawings are accepted.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 6, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Congo is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the three basic criteria analysis of Congro is deemed to be proper. In the first basis, the motivation to move the hinged lid of Congo to the longer side of the housing location is both a recitation rearranging parts of an invention, and once of mere common sense for extending the life of the inhaler, as noted in the prior action. Secondly, an expectation for success is validated. The argument that the lid, if placed on the side would catch on the protrusions taught by Congro is moot, as the size of the protrusions is a variable that could be modified for the needed clearance. Thirdly, the claimed invention, in its use of the words "adjacent", "vicinity", "selectively", "abut", "housing", "fragrance", and "pivotally" are broad enough in their respective definitions to fully read on the known capabilities of the Congro reference.

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,724,459 to Congro

Regarding claim 1, Congro teaches a housing (20) for a fragrance element having at least one aperture (60,62) in side of the housing in a vicinity of one end of the housing, the one end being shaped to abut at the lower end of a users nose at, as per claim 4, the septum of a user's nose. Congro further teaches a means to selectively open and close the aperture with a hinged lid (12). Further, a pivotably attached lid, such as taught by Congo would, impede with a user's intent to guard a lip if used in the way depicted to have the lid between the housing and the users face. However, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would deem it obvious to place the hinge of Congo on the longer side of the housing location since it is known in the art to place a hinge on the longer side of a housing as it would produce a housing whose hinge would be less likely to fail due to extended use. Further, as per claim 3, pivotably attached lids that produce audible clicks are notoriously well known in the art and their use is considered common knowledge. Summarily, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the dispenser of Congro with a side-mounted pivotably attached lid order to have a dispenser where the lid is also a guard, and would be less likely to fail.

Conclusion .

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Hogan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,184

Art Unit: 3752

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSH 9/11/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700